

1 BARRY J. PORTMAN  
2 Federal Public Defender  
3 REBECCA SULLIVAN SILBERT  
4 Assistant Federal Public Defender  
5 555 - 12th Street  
6 Suite 650  
7 Oakland, CA 94607-3627  
8 Telephone: (510) 637-3500

9 Counsel for Defendant DORAISWAMY

10  
11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13  
14  
15  
16  
17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR-07-00444 DLJ  
18 Plaintiff, )  
19 vs. )  
20 SHRI DORAISWAMY, )  
21 Defendant. )  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

---

**DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF  
UNOPPOSED APPLICATION, AND  
APPLICATION FOR ORDER  
AUTHORIZING INDIGENT SUBPOENA  
UNDER FEDERAL RULES OF  
CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 17(b) AND (c)**

17 I, REBECCA SULLIVAN SILBERT, make the following declarations under penalty of perjury:

18 1) I am an Assistant Federal Public Defender appointed to represent Shri Doraiswamy in the  
19 above captioned case.

20 2) I am informed and believe, and on the basis of said information and belief allege, that Mr.  
21 Doraiswamy is unable to pay for expenses in connection with the duplication of the  
22 materials listed in the attached subpoena;

23 3) This request seeks one subpoena duces tecum for Bank of America. The proposed  
24 subpoena is attached. I believe all information requested is relevant, necessary and  
25 material to a fair determination of this case at trial.

26 4) Mr. Doraiswamy is charged with wire fraud relating to investments with which he was

1 involved between approximately 2000 and 2003. The government has produced certain  
2 financial records that are relevant to this case because they reflect the financial  
3 transactions made by Mr. Doraiswamy between 2000 and 2003. The records are relevant,  
4 necessary and material to the alleged loss amount, as well as to Mr. Doraiswamy's state  
5 of mind (which is at issue in the case), because, among other things, the government  
6 argues that Mr. Doraiswamy's movement of monies is proof of his intent to defraud.

7 5) In some instances, the financial records produced by the government are incomplete or  
8 illegible. Attached to this Declaration and Application is the proposed subpoena and  
9 attachment. The attachment lists those documents that we are missing or cannot read, and  
10 that we are requesting through the subpoena. The requested documents are relevant,  
11 necessary and material because the defense cannot fully understand the financial  
12 transactions at issue with incomplete documentation. These documents will be highly  
13 relevant and material to the defense case at trial.

14 6) It is counsel's understanding that most financial institutions destroy all documents after  
15 seven years. Waiting until trial to obtain the documents may therefore result in the  
16 destruction and unavailability of relevant and material documents.

17 7) It is my professional judgment and opinion that the production of the requested materials  
18 is necessary to a full and fair trial for the above-named defendant. It is similarly my  
19 professional judgment and opinion that production of the requested materials prior to the  
20 trial is essential to enable the preparation of the defense in this case and to ensure a fair  
21 trial for the defendant. Additional investigation will likely need to be conducted after  
22 defendant obtains the requested information in order to locate and interview potential  
23 witnesses or additional documents. Advance production is therefore necessary to prevent  
24 delay or interruption of the trial.

25 8) It has been my experience that financial institutions request at least 15 business days from  
26 the date they are served to comply with a subpoena. The return date on the requested

1 subpoena is therefore 20 business days from the date of this Application.  
2 9) I have spoken with AUSA Stephen Corrigan, and it is my understanding that he will not  
3 be opposing the requested subpoena.  
4 10) For all of these reasons, I respectfully request that the Court issue an order:  
5 1) authorizing the issuance of the subpoena for copies of Bank of America records;  
6 2) authorizing the costs of fees and expenses associated with production of the  
7 materials so subpoenaed to be paid as if subpoenaed by the government;  
8 3) allowing the option of the production of the documents to the Federal Public  
9 Defender on or before March 28, 2008.

10

11 Dated: 2/28/08

12 Respectfully submitted,

13 BARRY J. PORTMAN  
14 Federal Public Defender

15 /S/  
16

17 REBECCA SULLIVAN SILBERT  
18 Assistant Federal Public Defender  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
Plaintiff, ) No. CR 07-00444 DLJ  
vs. ) [PROPOSED] ORDER  
SHRI DORAISWAMY, ) AUTHORIZING SUBPOENA  
Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_  
)

On the motion of the defendant pursuant to rule 17(b) and (c) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the following subpoena issue for the specified Bank of America records, and that the fees and expenses associated with production of the materials so subpoenaed shall be paid as if subpoenaed by the government.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the subpoenas allow the option of the production of the material to the Federal Public Defender on or before March 28, 2008.

DATED:

**HON. D. LOWELL JENSEN**  
United States District Judge